

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/510,880 | 05/25/2005 | Jens Peter Gotze | 271212000200 | 6327 |
| 25225 MORRISON A | 7590 05/22/200 E FOERSTER LLP | 8 | EXAM | UNER |
| 12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040 | | | FOSTER, CHRISTINE E | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1641 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/22/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Abandonment Application No. Applicant(s) 10/510,880 GOTZE ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Christine Foster 1641

| | Christine Foster | 1641 | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | ailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | • | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was , which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | he publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | eking court reviev |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| The Examiner contacted Applicant's representatives was abandoned. | and Mr. Greg Einhorn confirmed | d on 5/14/08 that | the application |
| /Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641 | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US-Petert and Teachers Office

1.25 Petert and Teachers Office